## **FILED**

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 27 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEEPAK VOHRA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COUNTY OF ORANGE; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

LCSW,

Defendant.

No. 05-56339

D.C. No. CV-03-01829-RGK

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California R. Gary Klausner, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 23, 2006\*\*

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-56339

A review of appellant's response to the order to show cause and the record indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.